

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/695,946	10/30/2003	Ravi Ganesan	3350-30B	6253
	7590 12/20/2006 D ASBILL & BRENNA	EXAMINER		
999 PEACHTR	EE STREET, N.E.	OSBORNE, MATTHEW C		
ATLANTA, GA	TLANTA, GA 30309 ART UNIT PAPER NUMB			PAPER NUMBER
	3694			
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVÉR	Y MODE
3 MONTHS 12/20/2006		12/20/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS' from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/695,946	GANESAN, RAVI
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Matthew Osborne	3694
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
Period fo	• •		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period tre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 136(a). In no event, however, may a re- will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			·
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 C	October 2003	
2a) [s action is non-final.	
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowa		ers, prosecution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Dispositi	ion of Claims		
·	Claim(s) 32-66 is/are pending in the application	nn .	
·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
· · · —	Claim(s) 32-66 is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	
Applicati	ion Papers		•
_	The specification is objected to by the Examine	ar.	
	The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 March 2004</u> is/are:		ected to by the Examiner
.0/23	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	=	
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	, -	• •
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	,	
ŕ	1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		pplication No
	$3.\square$ Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been	received in this National Stage
	application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•
* S	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not i	received.
		· ·	•
\ttach===	Wa)		·
Attachment D Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) [7] Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)
2) 🔯 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>20031030, 20041115, 20050624</u> .	5)	formal Patent Application

Art Unit: 3694

DETAILED ACTION

This is a first office action on the merits for Application 10/695,946.

Claims 1-31 have been cancelled by the applicant.

Claims 32-66 have been added and examined.

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to because they are difficult to read. Please see the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review for details. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 3694

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Omum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Art Unit: 3694

3. Claims 32-66 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over Claim 15 of U.S. Patent No. 6,678,664 B1. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they recite means or steps that are substantially the same and that would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 32, 37, 38, 43, 44-52, 59-65 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chen et al. (US 5,590,197).
- 6. Re Claims 32 and 37, Chen teaches an electronic payment system and method comprising:
 - [Claim 32] receiving, at a central processing point, information identifying a
 purchaser without identifying a payment account for the purchaser (see at least
 Column 6, Lines 48-57, where merchant forwards modified authorization ticket,
 which contains "personal information required" as per Column 4, Line 65);
 - processing the received identifying information at the central processing point to determine if the purchaser is a registered purchaser (see at least Column 6, Lines 48-57);

Art Unit: 3694

 transmitting, from the central processing point to a point of purchase, a notice confirming registration, if the purchaser is determined to be a registered purchaser (see at least Column 6, Lines 48-57); and

Page 5

- receiving, at the central processing point, an instruction for paying for a purchase on behalf of the purchaser (see at least Column 6, Lines 48-57, where request is embedded in encrypted key provided by purchaser and merchant information);
 and
- directing a payment for the purchase on behalf of the purchaser based on the determination that the purchaser is a registered purchaser and the received instruction for paying for the purchase (see at least Column 5, Lines 48-55).
- [Claim 37] wherein the information identifying the purchaser is received from the point of purchase (see at least Column 6, Lines 48-57).
- 7. Re Claims 38 and 43, Chen teaches an electronic payment system and method comprising:
 - [Claim 38] a network interface configured to receive information identifying a
 purchaser without identifying a payment account for the purchaser and an
 instruction for paying for a purchase on behalf of the purchaser (see at least
 Figure 1, "Secured Network"); and
 - a processor (see at least Figure 1, "Credit Processor") configured (i) to determine
 if the purchaser is a registered purchaser based on the received identifying
 information, (ii) to direct transmission of a notice confirming registration to a point
 of purchase, if the purchaser is determined to be a registered purchaser, and (iii)

Art Unit: 3694

to direct a payment for the purchase on behalf of the purchaser based on the determination that the purchaser is a registered purchaser and the received instruction for paying for the purchase (see at least Column 6, Lines 48-57, discussed *supra*).

- [Claim 43] wherein the information identifying the purchaser is received from the point of purchase (See at least Column 6, 48-57).
- 8. Re Claims 44-52 and 59, Chen teaches an electronic payment system and method comprising:
 - [Claim 44] receiving a communication, transmitted on behalf of a seller to a
 payment service provider, representing information identifying a purchaser
 without identifying a payment account (see at least Column 6, Lines 48-57,
 where merchant forwards modified authorization ticket, which contains "personal
 information required" as per Column 4, Line 65);
 - confirming that the purchaser is registered with the payment service provider
 based on the represented information (see at least Column 6, Lines 48-57); and
 - accepting a request that the payment service provider pay the seller on behalf of the purchaser, based on the confirmation of registration (see at least Column 6, Lines 48-57).
 - [Claim 45] transmitting a communication, from the payment service provider, representing a notice of the confirmation of registration (see at least Column 6, Lines 48-57).

Art Unit: 3694

• [Claim 46] receiving a communication, transmitted on behalf of other than the seller to the payment service provider, representing second information related to the purchaser (see at least Column 6, Lines 12-26); and

- registering the purchaser based on the represented second information (see at least Column 6, Lines 12-26, "wallet is created by the account servicer");
- wherein the communication representing the first information is received subsequent to the purchaser being registered (see at least Column 6).
- [Claim 47] wherein the represented second information includes an identification
 of the payment account (see at least Column 6, Line 16, "account information").
- [Claim 48] wherein the received communication representing the second information is transmitted on behalf of the purchaser (see at least Column 6, Lines 12-26).
- [Claim 49] wherein the seller is a first seller, the request to pay is a first request to pay, and further comprising:
- subsequent to the purchaser being registered, receiving another communication, transmitted on behalf of a second seller to the payment service provider, representing third information identifying the purchaser without identifying a payment account (see at least Column 6, Lines 48-57, completed with another "Merchant Processor" as shown in Figure 1);
- further confirming that the purchaser is registered based on the represented third information (see at least Column 6, Lines 48-57, completed with another "Merchant Processor" as shown in Figure 1); and

Art Unit: 3694

 accepting a second request that the payment service provider pay the second seller on behalf of the purchaser, based on the further confirmation of registration (see at least Column 6, Lines 27-57, completed with another "Merchant Processor" as shown in Figure 1).

Page 8

- [Claim 50] transmitting a communication, from the payment service provider,
 representing a first notice of the confirmation of registration based on the
 represented first information (see at least Column 6, Lines 48-57); and
- transmitting a communication, from the payment service provider, representing a second notice of the further confirmation of registration based on the represented third information (see at least Column 6, Lines 27-57, completed with another "Merchant Processor" as shown in Figure 1).
- [Claim 51] wherein the first seller and the second seller are the same seller (see at least Column 6, Lines 27-57, completed with the same "Merchant Processor" as shown in Figure 1).
- [Claim 52] wherein the represented first information and the represented third
 information are the same information (see at least Column 6, Lines 48-57,
 wherein the information sent is the customer's authorization ticket and merchant
 embedded information).
- [Claim 59] wherein the communication is received from the seller (see at least Column 6, Lines 48-57).
- 9. Re Claims 60-65, Chen teaches an electronic payment system and method comprising:

Art Unit: 3694

[Claim 60] a memory configured to store identifiers for purchasers registered with
a payment service provider (see at least Column 6, Lines 12-18, where all
"information which might be needed during the payment and authentication
process" is stored at the account servicer, inherently requiring a memory); and

- a processor configured to receive a communication, transmitted on behalf of a
 seller, representing information identifying a purchaser without identifying a
 payment account, to confirm that the purchaser is registered with the payment
 service provider based on the represented information and the stored identifiers,
 and to accept a request that the payment service provider pay the seller on
 behalf of the purchaser, based on the confirmation of registration of the
 purchaser (see at least Figure 1, "Credit Processor").
- [Claim 61] wherein the processor is further configured to direct the transmission of a communication, to representing the confirmation of registration (see at least Column 6, Lines 48-57).
- [Claim 62] wherein the information is first information; and
- the processor is further configured to receive a communication, transmitted on behalf of other than the seller, representing second information related to the purchaser, and to direct the storage of the represented second information in association with an identifier for the purchaser (see at least Column 6, Lines 12-26);

Art Unit: 3694

 wherein the communication representing the first information is received subsequent to the directed storage of the represented second information (see at least Column 6).

- [Claim 63] wherein the received communication representing the second information is transmitted on behalf of the purchaser (see at least Column 6, Lines 48-57, where request is embedded in encrypted key provided by purchaser and merchant information).
- [Claim 64] wherein the seller is a first seller; the request to pay is a first request to pay;
- the processor is further configured to receive, subsequent to directing the storage of the represented second information, another communication, transmitted on behalf of a second seller, representing third information identifying the purchaser without identifying a payment account, to further confirm that the purchaser is registered with the payment service provider based on the represented third information and the stored identifiers, and to accept a second request that the payment service provider pay the second seller on behalf of the purchaser, based on the further confirmation of registration (see at least Column 6, Lines 48-57, completed with another "Merchant Processor" as shown in Figure 1).
- [Claim 65] wherein the processor is further configured (i) to transmit a
 communication representing a first notice of the confirmation of registration of the
 purchaser with the payment service provider based on the represented first
 information and the stored identifiers (see at least Column 6, Lines 48-57), and

Art Unit: 3694

(ii) to transmit a communication representing a second notice of the further confirmation of registration of the second seller with the payment service provider based on the represented third information and the stored identifiers (see at least Column 6, Lines 48-57, completed with another "Merchant Processor" as shown in Figure 1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claims 33-35, 39-41, 55, 57, and 66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen et al. ('197) in view of Braun (US 3,594,727).
- 12. Re Claims 33-35, 39-41, 55, 57, and 66, Chen teaches the system of Parent Claims 1, 38, and 44, *supra*. Chen also teaches:
 - [Claim 33] receiving, at the central processing point, information identifying a
 purchase price for the purchase (see at least Column 4, Lines 18-21);
 - transmitting an authorization for the purchase (see at least Column 4, Lines 18-21);
 - [Claim 39] the network interface is further configured to receive information identifying a purchase price for the purchase (see at least Column 4, Lines 18-21);

Art Unit: 3694

 the processor configured to transmit an authorization for the purchase to the point of purchase (see at least Column 4, Lines 18-21);

- [Claims 34, 40] wherein information identifying the purchase price is received from the point of purchase (see at least Column 4, Lines 18-21).
- [Claims 35, 41] wherein the notice confirming registration and the authorization for the purchase are transmitted as a unitary transmission (see at least Column 6, Lines 48-57).
- [Claim 55] wherein the represented information identifies a price for a purchase by the purchaser from the seller (see at least Column 4, Lines 18-21), and
- transmitting a communication, from the payment service provider to the seller,
 representing a notice that the purchase is authorized (see at least Column 4,
 Lines 18-21).
- [Claim 57] the transmitted communication also represents a notice of the confirmation of registration (see at least Column 6, Lines 48-57).
- [Claim 66] wherein the represented information identifies a price for a purchase
 by the purchaser from the seller (see at least Column 4, Lines 18-21);
- the processor is further configured to transmit a communication representing a
 notice that the purchase is authorized by the payment service provider (see at
 least Column 4, Lines 18-21).

Chen does not explicitly teach [Claims 33, 39] processing to determine if the purchase price exceeds a threshold amount or [Claims 33, 39, 55, 66] transmitting an authorization/notice of authorization if the price is determined not to exceed the

Art Unit: 3694

threshold amount. However, Braun teaches a credit card banking system in which "[t]he peripheral stations then accept or reject requests for purchases on credit, rejection to occur if a credit limit has been exceeded, payment is overdue, or the like" (Column 4, Lines 6-9). It would therefore be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to incorporate the "credit limit" feature of Braun into the electronic payment system and method of Chen in order to reduce the credit risk of the unavailable funds to the Credit Processor and the burden of overdrawn funds fees to the User.

- 13. Claim 56 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen et al. ('197) in view of Braun ('727) as applied to Claim 55 above, and further in view of Egendorf (US 5,794,221).
- 14. Re Claim 56, Chen in view of Braun teaches a relationship between the purchase price and available purchaser funds (Column 4, Lines 6-9, "credit limit"). Chen in view of Braun does not teach a relationship between the purchase price and a threshold limit. However, Egendorf teaches an internet billing method in which "one account will be used for certain transactions, and a different account for other transactions, for example, a telephone account for transactions less than \$5.00, and a bank account for transactions of at least \$5.00" (Column 6, Lines 19-22). It would therefore be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to incorporate the account threshold relationship of Egendorf into the electronic payment system and method of Chen in view of Braun in order to allow a user to debit funds from various accounts based upon the cost of the transaction.

Page 14

Art Unit: 3694

- Claims 53, 54, and 58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen et al. ('197) in view of Loiacono (US 5,293,422).
- 16. Re Claims 53 and 54, Chen teaches the method of Parent Claim 49, supra. [Claim 53] Chen does not specifically disclose the first information identifying the purchaser including only a first type of information and a third information identifying the purchaser including the first type and a second type of information. [Claim 54] While Chen discloses an identifying information including a purchaser's authorization ticket (the claimed "second type identifying information"), Chen does not specifically disclose the first type of information being limited to one or more of a name, an address, a driver's license number and a passport number of the purchaser. However, Loiacono discloses a usage control system for computer software in which "the user enters his or her identifier (e.g., his or her last name), and the program compares that identifier with the registered user file to determine if the user has or has not been previously registered" (Column 5, Lines 60-64). It would therefore be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to incorporate the registered user identification process of Loiacono into the electronic payment system and method of Chen in order to create a system which allows various methods of identification of the user, without the transmission of an account number, for use with merchants of various trustworthiness or transaction security requirements.
- 17. Re Claim 58, Chen teaches the method of Parent Claim 44, *supra*. While Chen discloses an identifying information including a purchaser's authorization ticket, Chen does not specifically disclose the information identifying the purchaser including a first

Art Unit: 3694

portion and a second portion, the first portion including at least one of a name, an address, a driver's license number and a passport number of the purchaser, and the second portion including other than the name, the address the driver's license number and the passport number of the purchaser. However, Loiacono discloses a usage control system for computer software in which "the user enters his or her identifier (e.g., his or her last name), and the program compares that identifier with the registered user file to determine if the user has or has not been previously registered" (Column 5, Lines 60-64). It would therefore be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to incorporate the registered user identification process of Loiacono into the electronic payment system and method of Chen in order to send an extra identification in the identification information which would allow for additional verification of the registered user involved in the transaction.

- 18. Claims 36 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen et al. ('197) in view of Matsuura et al. (US 5,315,511 A).
- 19. Re Claims 36 and 42, Chen teaches the limitations of Parent Claims 32 and 38, supra. Chen discloses a method and system that directs payment for the purchase on behalf of the purchaser automatically to immediately pay for the purchase (see at least Column 5, Lines 42-60, the completion of the transaction by conducting "Credit Authorization" articulated in Figure 1). Chen does not explicitly disclose the received instruction for paying for the purchase on behalf of the purchaser being one of an instruction to immediately pay for the purchase and an instruction to subsequently pay for the purchase, and if the instruction is to immediately pay, to automatically direct

Art Unit: 3694

payment. However, Matsuura discloses "dealing data compris[ing] data regarding a time requested by the user for transacting ... payment of money [at] the requested branch" (see at least Claim 5). It would therefore be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to incorporate the delayed payment request capability of Matsuura into the electronic payment system and method of Chen in order to allow the purchaser more flexibility in paying for goods and services supplied by the merchant and to "improve an efficiency of the use of funds" (Matsuura, Column 2, Line 56).

Conclusion

20. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Knight et al. (US 5,383,113) discloses a system and method for electronically providing customer services.

Elgamal (US 5,671,279) discloses electronic commerce using a secure courier system.

Pedersen (US 5,739,511) discloses a method for effecting an electronic payment transaction having a variable number of payment units.

Mullins et al. (US 6,142,368) discloses a prepayment wristband and computer debit system.

Najor et al. (US 6,183,017 B1) discloses a telephone calling card coupon.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew Osborne whose telephone number is 571-272-7325. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

Art Unit: 3694

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammel can be reached on 571-272-6712. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Matthew C Osborne Examiner Art Unit 3694

PRIMARY EXAMINER